## PH5

| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SECTION A |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | (a) | (i) | $C=\frac{Q}{V}$ understood (1) [or by impl.] <br> i.e Rearranging to give $V=Q / C$ or substitution of capacitance for $C$ and charge for $Q$ $V=12.5(3) \mathrm{V}(1)$ <br> $C=\frac{\varepsilon_{0} A}{d}$ understood [simply quoting is not enough] (1) [substitution of all quantities except $d]$ $\left.d=9.44 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m} \text { [accept } 0.9 \mathrm{~mm}\right](1)$ | 2 2 |
|  | (b) |  | $Q=Q_{o} \exp \left(\frac{-t}{R C}\right)$ understood (1) [substitution] <br> Taking logs correctly e.g. $\ln Q=\ln Q_{o}-\frac{t}{R C}$ (1) <br> Algebra e.g. $-1.9=\frac{-t}{15 \times 10^{6} \times 375 \times 10^{-12}}(1)$ $t=0.01[0.007] \mathrm{s}(1)$ <br> [Use of $\log _{10} \rightarrow 0.47$ : treat as calculator slip $\rightarrow 3$ marks] <br> [Mysterious vanishing of minus sign $\rightarrow$ slip] | 4 |
|  | (c) |  | [Dielectric (or water)] increases C or allows more Q to be stored [accept: store more energy or time constant increased] (1) $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { so change in } C \text { or } Q \text { means fog }  \tag{1}\\ \text { or use coulometer to measure } Q \\ \text { or use multi(meter) to measure } C \text { [or voltage] } \end{array}\right\}$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | [10] |









|  | uest |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SECTION C |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | (a) |  | Laminated (or equivalent) (1) to prevent eddy currents (1) Suitable material for core (1) to avoid magnetising/hysterises losses (1) | 4 |
|  | (b) | (i) | First mark for diagram with $V_{\mathrm{L}}, V_{\mathrm{C}}, V_{\mathrm{R}}$ perpendicular with $V_{\mathrm{L}}$, opposite $V_{\mathrm{C}}$ [or impedances] (1) resultant reactive impedance is $\omega L-\frac{1}{\omega C}\left[\right.$ or $\left.V_{\text {react }}=V_{\mathrm{L}}-V_{\mathrm{C}}\right]$, shown on the diagram(1) <br> Resultant [justified] $=\sqrt{\text { etc.(1) }}$ <br> or $V=\sqrt{\left(V_{\mathrm{L}}-V_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{2}+V_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{2}}$ and $V=\sqrt{\left(I \omega L-\frac{I}{\omega C}\right)^{2}+I^{2} R^{2}}$ |  |
|  |  | (ii) | $f=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{L C}} \text { or } \omega=\sqrt{\frac{1}{L C}} \text { or } \omega L=\frac{1}{\omega C} \text { (1) }$ |  |
|  |  | (iii) | Convincing substitution and/or algebra (1) $\left[I=\frac{V}{R}=\right] \frac{12}{280}(1)$ | 2 |
|  |  | (iv) | Since all voltage across $R \underline{\text { or }} V_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $V_{\mathrm{C}}$ cancel (or $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) (1) Equation used e.g. $Q=\frac{\omega L}{R}$ or $\frac{1}{\omega C R}$ used (1) | 2 |
|  |  | (v) | Answer = 2.97 or (3) (1) <br> Attempt at substitution e.g. accept $\sqrt{\left(10.35 \times 64-\frac{1}{10.35 \times 9.2}\right)^{2}+280^{2}}$ $\begin{aligned} & Z=1286 \Omega(1) \\ & I=\frac{V}{Z}(1)[\text { independent mark }]=9.3 \mathrm{~mA}(1) \end{aligned}$ | 2 4 |
|  |  | (vi) | $\omega L$ doubled and $\frac{I}{\omega C}$ halved(1) <br> $X_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $X_{\mathrm{L}}$ switched (1)(cf(v)) <br> $(416-1671)^{2}=(1671-416)^{2}$ or equivalent - ve number squared. (1) <br> Alternative: $X_{\mathrm{C}}=1671$ and $X_{\mathrm{L}}=416$ and $R=280$ [used or implied](1) <br> $\mathrm{Z}=1286(\Omega)-$ clearly shown (1) <br> $3^{\text {rd }}$ mark - noticing $X_{C}$ and $X_{L}$ swapped.(1) | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | [20] |


| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | (a) | (i) | I. Studied reflected light (from glass plate) (1) <br> Reflection from $2^{\text {nd }}$ plate depends on orientation (not just angle of inc.) / Light asymmetrical about direction of travel / Reflected light polarised (1) <br> II. Developed wave theory mathematically (1) <br> accounted for polarisation by reflection or double refraction or diffraction patterns of various obstacles or why we cannot see around corners (1) <br> - Requires stiff (or solid) medium (where light travels) (1) <br> - which would also support longitudinal waves but not observed or would prevent movement of 'ordinary' objects. (1) | 2 2 2 |
|  | (b) | (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) <br> (iv) | Magnetic fields - rotating vortices (1) <br> Electric fields - stress (or strain) in vortex material (1) <br> Density and stiffness <br> His ether (or equations) predicted $c=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}}}$ (1) <br> Experiment confirmed this (within uncertainties).(1) <br> Oscillating $E$ and $B$ fields. (1) <br> $E$ and $B$ at right angles to each other and to the propagation direction. <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ <br> 2 |
|  | (c) | (i) | Principle of Relativity understood (either by statement or implied) (1) <br> Not consistent as laws [of E-M] would have special form in this <br> frame (also implies first mark). (1) <br> I. $\quad 6.39 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ <br> II. $\Delta \tau=\Delta t \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}(1)=0.625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (1) [65.3 $\mu \mathrm{s} \rightarrow 0$ marks $]$ <br> III. $\quad 0.706 \mu \mathrm{~s}(1)$ <br> approximately $10 \%$ (or $13 \%$ ) out (1) [or any other correct and relevant remark] | $2$ <br> 2 <br> 2 |
|  |  |  |  | [20] |
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LCS - largest plastic deformation <br>
QAS - highest breaking stress <br>
All are same / similar from initial gradients. <br>
HCS has greater strength and stiffness (1) <br>
Carbon in (crystal) lattice (1) <br>
Hinders/opposes/stops dislocation movement (1) <br>
Hence more opposition to plastic deformation in HCS (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} m v^{2}=\frac{1}{2} F x(1) \times 1 / 4(1) \\
& m=\rho A l(1)+\text { convincing algebra }(1) \\
& \varepsilon=0.002(1) \\
& v=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{700 \times 10^{6} \times 0.002}{8000}}=6.6 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}[\text { answer }](1)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

Accept either LCS or QAS with sensible reason: <br>
e.g. LCS has a higher breaking speed (1) because the area under the graph is greater / $\varepsilon$ at breaking is much bigger (1) <br>
or QAS has a higher speed (1) because the area under the graph in the elastic region is bigger (1)
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$2.6 \rightarrow 2.7 \mathrm{GPa}$ from the graph (1) <br>
$8.3 \rightarrow 8.65 \mathrm{~kg}$ (1) <br>
Thin fibres have fewer surface imperfections (1) Very thin fibres have no surface imperfections (1) <br>
Thin glass fibres encased in resin / epoxy / plastic material

 \& 

2 <br>
2 <br>
1 <br>
[20]
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | (a) | (i) <br> (ii) <br> (iii) <br> (iv) | Same shape, below and longer minimum $\lambda_{0}$ (1) peaks in same place (1) <br> Peaks/spikes/line spectrum move. $\begin{aligned} & e V=\frac{h c}{\lambda}(1) \\ & \lambda=1.66 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}(1) \\ & P=I V=9375 \mathrm{~W}(1) \\ & 99.5 \% \text { heat }=0.995 \times 9375=9328 \mathrm{~W}(1) \end{aligned}$ <br> Or comment that roughly all 9375 W dissipated as heat. | 2 |
|  | (b) |  | CT detector(s) rotate (1) about patient / analysis point. <br> Multiple detectors output to computer (1) <br> Series of 2D images obtained or 3D image obtained (1) | 3 |
|  | (c) |  | Radio waves [2-100 MHz] (1) <br> Resonate or Same/match frequency of [hydrogen] nuclear rotation [or precession]. (1) <br> Causes them to flip/change (1) [Not just: change spin] | 3 |
|  | (d) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (i) } \\ & \text { (ii) } \end{aligned}$ | crystal deforms / vibrates [when alternating p.d. applied] $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda}=\frac{2 v}{c}(1) \\ & v=0.9 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(1) \text { [e.c.f. on missing factor of 2] } \end{aligned}$ | 1 2 |
|  | (e) | (i) (ii) | Mention of free radicals (1) [or equivalent, e.g. produces chemicals/ions/atoms which react/are highly reactive]. <br> Damages DNA/cells/molecules (1) <br> Absorbed dose = energy (absorbed) per unit mass. <br> Dose equivalent $=$ absorbed dose $\times \mathrm{Q}$ [uality] factor. | 2 2 |
|  |  |  |  | [20] |


| Question |  |  | Marking details | Marks Available |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | (a) | (i) <br> (ii) | $\begin{aligned} \hline \text { Power } & =\text { solar constant } \times \text { area [or by impl.] (1) } \\ & =1.0686 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~W} / 1.0686 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~kW} / 10.7 \mathrm{GW} \text { or equiv }(1) . \end{aligned}$ <br> $P=\sigma A T^{4}$ understood [accept $5.67 \times 10^{-8} \times \mathrm{A} \times 5778$ ] - i.e. 2 terms identified although missing (1) $\begin{aligned} & P=4 \pi r^{2} \text { quoted (1) } \\ & P=3.85 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~W}(1) \end{aligned}$ $\text { Solar constant }=\frac{3.85 \times 10^{26}}{4 \pi \times\left(1.496 \times 10^{11}\right)^{2}}\left[=1368 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right]$ | 2 |
|  | (b) (c) |  | Hours in one year $=24 \times 365[.25]$ [or by impl.] (1) <br> Total units $=1.0686 \times 10^{7} \times 24 \times 365 \times 0.4$ [or by impl.] (1) <br> Money $=$ units $\times 0.2=£ 7.5$ billion $/ 7.5 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{p} / £ 7.489 \times 10^{9}(1)$ <br> Volume $=$ area $\times$ thickness [or by impl.] (1) <br> Mass $=$ density $\times$ volume [or by impl.] (1) [manip] <br> Mass $=4.95 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kg}(1)$ |  |
|  | (d) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.95 \times 10^{6} \div 2500=198 \text { missions [or by impl.] (1) [ecf from (c)] } \\ & \times 350 \times 10^{6}=£ 69.3 \text { bn [or equiv.] (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
|  | (e) |  | Heat engines inefficient [or by impl.] (1) <br> Since $1-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}} \simeq 1-\frac{300}{400} \simeq 0.25$ (1) "which is poor" implies first mark. <br> NB. $T_{2}$ in range $373-1700 \mathrm{~K}$ and $T_{1}$ in range $273-900 \mathrm{~K}\left[<T_{2}\right]$ | 2 |
|  | (f) |  | Reasonable since costs recovered in 9/10 years (1) (ignoring time for 200 shuttle missions) <br> + Any $3 \times(1)$ good points: <br> - Not weather dependant $\checkmark$ <br> - Solar power at night $\checkmark$ <br> - Less/no atmospheric absorption by microwaves $\checkmark$ <br> - Time for 200 shuttle missions $\checkmark$ <br> - Shuttle program ended $\checkmark$ | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | [20] |

